copy to Ivon

UNIVERSITY OF *Minnesota*

Box 392 Mayo Hospital

MEDICAL SCHOOL
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

April 16, 1968

Dear Harold,

Enclosed are the following:

1. Copies of my newspaper series which wasn't written until late 1966 and wasn't published until early 1967. If you're appalled at its grotesque understatement, bear in mind that both the newspaper and its readers are quite conservative and that neither was aware of any criticism of the Warren Report. After these articles appeared, both your books and Lane's practically sold out in the bookstores. Because of the mellow tone of these articles people seemed to view me as quite honest and not a rabble rouser. I had a good deal more to say about the case when I got on radio. I couldn't find a copy of the original which I sent to the newspaper, but can make some new ones if anyone is interested. These were heavily footnoted with complete lists for those who thought the shots came from the right front and those who alleged that the FBI changed their testimony. (30 pages long due to footnotes) The footnotes also further explicated the text. The major changes in the text were: I. mention that after the assassination Arnold Rowland had recurring dreams about how he could have prevented it by bringing the man to the attention of police officers (see the very last page of his testimony), V. mention that the stretcher bullet was probably planted in order to incriminate Oswald and my concluding paragraph which asked for a new investigation.
2. My letter to the editor on the Garrison investigation which appeared in the paper long after Lane had been here, so I didn't send a copy with him.
3. My letter to the editor on the CBS special. (I spent more than 8 hours trying to get this info into the paper along with a critique of the CBS thing and they were just too scared to handle it. I even tried to give them an interview with Tink Thompson done over long distance phone since he had inside info as to how CBS fudged the results of their own experiments, but again to no avail.
4. An article from the Cornell Daily Sun to back up my assertion that Epstein could prove dangerous since he was often billed as the only honest"critic."
5. Some letters to the editor done by friends of mine since I knew that they wouldn't print more than one of mine.
6. A letter written by one of the men I work for to the New Republic. Lykken is one of the top men in the world on the subject of psychophysiological measures and is therefore quite a good polygraph operator. I'm going to try to get him to give Ruth Paine a lie detector test. (By the end of the summer I should be good enough to do it myself, in which case I'll invite Kroman et. al. in for a go at it.)

UNIVERSITY OF Minnesota

MEDICAL SCHOOL
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

The other info I sent consisted of the following:

1. A report on my evaluation of the Jarnegin letter point by point to see whether it could have all been gotten from newspaper sources by the date the letter was posted. I concluded that all of the info could have come from available sources, which only means that it would have been possible for Jarnegan to dig it up.
2. Findings from my work on the autopsy of the brain, which I don't feel was falsified, although it was improperly done and poorly reported. Long before Tink showed me his graph of the head movement, I had suspected the possibility of a double head hit. That, in fact, was why Vince put him in touch with me.
3. A license plate number, of which I'm sure you're well aware, which was given in the police radio log as a possible number for a getaway car. I discussed this with Jim and he said that they had already checked it out. I can find it in my notes in a few days if you're interested.
4. Preliminary reports on my work in Western Pa. which is described in my earlier memos. I know I sent that because Mark remembered it immediately when I began describing it to Jim, and got out the volume to point out some unusual facets of the info.
5. Possibly the info concerning Powell of Army Intelligence who was caught in the Depository just after the shots and was interviewed by a detective, but it don't think that I had discovered it at that early date since I hadn't done much with the archives up to that point.

All of this material, unless otherwise noted, was sent down with Mark Lane and also mailed to Jim on Lane's suggestion. I gather that my material was picked off in the mail.

The third copy of the DCA film has still not arrived. This one was sent registered mail. Al Bunnel of DCA is going to be so disgusted with the Post Office that maybe he'll want to help in the investigation.. He's beginning to think conspiracy now. (In his last phone call he suggested that the film was "picked off in the mail.")

My other new info, for whatever it's worth, should be ready soon along with a picture of the man who found it. I think that it would be very worthwhile to start taking pictures so we know who is who, both among investigators and witnesses. Well, take care and I should be in touch soon regarding the arrangements for a public appearance out here. Give my regards to your wife.

Gary